



Challenges in School Management and Supervision: Insights from Educators in Chinese Colleges

Hou Yi¹

Abstract

This study examines the challenges faced by educators in Chinese colleges regarding school management and oversight. It explores teacher demographics, including age, gender, years of experience, and highest educational attainment. The research evaluates the effectiveness of current administrative approaches in leadership development, instructional leadership, cultural awareness, creating a conducive school environment, and resource allocation. While these strategies are generally effective, several areas require further improvement, such as enhancing access to mentoring, fostering curricular collaboration, promoting diversity, improving conflict resolution mechanisms, and ensuring equitable resource distribution. Survey findings reveal no significant differences in assessing these approaches across various demographic groups, indicating a shared perspective among faculty members. However, the study identifies several critical challenges, including bureaucratic constraints, limited professional development opportunities, inadequate funding, the dual burden of teaching and research responsibilities, and a lack of autonomy in curriculum design. The study recommends targeted measures to address these issues, such as expanding access to professional development programs, enhancing administrative flexibility, and ensuring sufficient resources to support curriculum innovation and interfaculty collaboration. These findings aim to guide policymakers and educational administrators in improving the quality of higher education management in China.

Keywords: *Administrative Approaches, Instructional Leadership, Leadership Cultivation.*

A. Introduction

From a global standpoint, the management and oversight of schools have a crucial impact on the caliber and efficiency of education systems around the globe. The overarching elements that impact school administration and supervision at a larger scale include political, economic, social, and cultural dimensions (Bush & Bell, 2019). The primary objective of these dynamics is to guarantee fair and equal access to high-quality education and promote the achievement of students. An eminent obstacle confronting school administration and supervision worldwide is the escalating intricacy of educational systems. School administrators confront complex problems due to rapid demographic changes, technological progress, and socio-economic inequalities (Dimmock & Walker, 2019). Administrators are required to effectively manage a wide range of student groups, identify and correct gaps in learning, and modify instructional methods to cater to the requirements of learners in the 21st century (Hargreaves & Shirley, 2018). Furthermore, the expansion of education on a worldwide scale has resulted in increased cultural variety among school communities, necessitating administrators to actively encourage inclusion and develop cultural competency (Leithwood et al., 2020).

¹ Department of Education, Adamson University. Philippines. sopsolo4u@gmail.com

Furthermore, making education a political issue creates difficulties for school management and oversight on a global scale. Educational policies and reforms frequently include conflicting interests and ideologies, resulting in changes in priorities and the distribution of resources (Smyth et al., 2019). Administrators must manage the intricacies of policy implementation while simultaneously managing stakeholder expectations and upholding the integrity of the educational purpose (Spillane et al., 2019). In addition, the increasing focus on accountability and standardized testing has heightened the demand for schools to show measurable results, which adds more responsibilities for administrators (Fink & Resnick, 2019). Distribution, prioritizing investments in instructional materials, technology infrastructure, and teacher professional development (Hanushek & Woessmann, 2020). In addition, economic recessions and budgetary austerity measures can worsen these difficulties, putting further pressure on school budgets and intensifying inequalities (Klein & O'Connor, 2021).

To address these issues, successful school administration and supervision need forward-thinking leadership, strategic planning, and cooperative relationships. To cultivate a healthy school culture and boost staff morale, school leaders must have proficient interpersonal skills, such as effective communication, conflict resolution, and team-building (Leithwood & Sun, 2020). Furthermore, administrators must actively include stakeholders, such as teachers, parents, community members, and legislators, in decision-making procedures to guarantee openness and responsibility (Louis et al., 2019). School leaders may promote continuous improvement and innovation in education using data-driven decision-making and evidence-based practices (Harris & Chapman, 2019). Specifically, in recent years, China's school administration and supervision field has seen substantial changes due to the evolving needs of the education sector and the broader socio-economic environment. As the Chinese education system strives for excellence and innovation, the importance of school administrators and supervisors in determining the quality of teaching and learning experiences in educational institutions has grown significantly (Ma & Li, 2020). Nevertheless, in Chinese educational environments, teachers frequently encounter several difficulties related to school administration, which can significantly affect their professional effectiveness and overall job contentment (Xu & Liu, 2020).

Teachers often encounter significant difficulty in dealing with administrative chores that arise from bureaucratic procedures, hierarchical decision-making systems, and the inflexible enforcement of regulations (Li & Wang, 2019). Teachers frequently have a heavy workload of administrative tasks, such as curriculum design, assessment, student discipline, and parent contact. These obligations might distract them from their primary focus on teaching and engaging with students (Zhang & Wang, 2019). Furthermore, the hierarchical structure of school administration in China might limit teachers' independence and control over decision-making, resulting in a sense of disempowerment and discontent (Wang & Cheng, 2018). In light of these difficulties, it is essential to investigate and ascertain the successful tactics used by school administrators and supervisors to tackle the complex issues instructors encounter in Chinese educational environments. This study seeks to contribute to the ongoing discussion on educational leadership and management in China by analyzing the current state of school administration and supervision, identifying critical issues for teachers, and exploring effective strategies to improve administrative practices (Shen & Kuo, 2021).

Although there is a significant amount of research on educational leadership and management, there is an apparent lack of literature that focuses on the specific experiences of teachers in connection to school administration and supervision in the Chinese setting (Zhao & Wu, 2020). Although there have been studies conducted on several aspects of educational leadership worldwide, there is a lack of empirical study that primarily focuses on the difficulties and approaches related to school administration in China (Cheng & Wang, 2020). In order to fill this void, the current study aims to thoroughly examine the tactics employed for successful school administration and supervision in Chinese educational environments. The research seeks

Yi,

practical insights and recommendations for enhancing administrative practices and promoting positive school cultures that support teachers' professional development and students' academic achievement. This will be achieved by incorporating the viewpoints of teachers, school administrators, and educational experts (Zhou & Wang, 2020). This study aims to enhance the quality of education in the Chinese setting by doing thorough empirical research and analysis on educational leadership and management.

This study investigates school administrators' and supervisors' strategies in Chinese educational settings. It aims to evaluate the effectiveness of these strategies in addressing key areas such as leadership development, instructional leadership, cultural sensitivity, fostering a positive school culture, and resource management. To achieve these objectives, the study examines the demographic profile of teacher-respondents, including their age, gender, years of experience, and highest educational attainment. It also seeks to determine whether teachers' evaluations of these strategies differ significantly based on their demographic characteristics. Additionally, the research explores the challenges faced by educators in school administration and supervision and aims to propose an enhanced program to improve administrative practices.

The study is guided by several research questions, including the demographic characteristics of teacher-respondents, their assessment of administrative strategies, and significant differences in these assessments when grouped by demographic profile. It also seeks to identify teachers' challenges and recommend solutions based on the findings. To address these questions, the study posits a null hypothesis (Ho1), suggesting no significant difference in teachers' evaluations of administrative strategies when grouped according to their demographic characteristics. This approach provides a structured framework for understanding the current state of school administration in Chinese educational institutions and identifying opportunities for targeted improvements.

B. Methods

1. Research Design

This study employed a descriptive comparative design, combining descriptive and comparative research methods to explore school administration and supervision strategies in Chinese educational contexts. Descriptive research provided a detailed portrayal of leadership practices, instructional strategies, cultural adaptability, and resource allocation, while comparative analysis evaluated similarities, differences, and relationships across variables. This approach enabled the identification of trends, inconsistencies, and impactful strategies while examining their influence on outcomes such as teacher satisfaction, student achievement, and institutional performance. Data were collected through surveys, interviews, and document analysis, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of the research problem.

2. Research Procedure

The research followed a systematic procedure, beginning with the development and validation of instruments, followed by participant recruitment, data collection, and analysis. Collaboration with university administrators and department heads facilitated the identification of eligible participants based on predefined criteria, such as a minimum of three years of teaching experience. Ethical considerations, including informed consent and confidentiality, were prioritized throughout the process to ensure the integrity of the study.

3. Data Collection Techniques

Data were collected using a customized questionnaire divided into two sections. The first section captured demographic details such as age, gender, years of teaching experience, and highest educational qualification. The second section assessed teachers' perceptions of

leadership and administrative strategies, focusing on leadership development, instructional management, cultural adaptability, fostering positive school environments, and resource allocation. Before distribution, the questionnaire underwent validation through expert reviews and pilot testing to ensure clarity and reliability. Additional qualitative data were gathered through semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions, providing deeper insights into participants' experiences and challenges.

4. Data Analysis Techniques

A combination of quantitative and qualitative analysis methods was used. Descriptive statistics summarized demographic profiles, while inferential tests, such as ANOVA and t-tests, examined differences in teachers' assessments based on demographic groups. Thematic analysis was employed to identify patterns and themes from qualitative data collected through interviews and focus groups. This mixed-method approach ensured a comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness of school administration strategies, offering actionable insights to inform policy and practice in Chinese educational institutions.

C. Findings and Discussion

1. Demographics of Respondents

The demographic composition of the respondents offers valuable insights into the workforce structure of Chinese higher education institutions. The slightly higher proportion of male educators (55%) compared to female educators (44%) suggests that gender representation in these institutions may not be balanced. This disparity could reflect broader societal or cultural trends that influence career trajectories in academia, particularly in leadership and administrative roles, which are often male-dominated (Shen et al., 2020). Addressing such imbalances through gender-sensitive policies, mentorship programs for women, and leadership development initiatives could foster a more inclusive academic environment. Such efforts align with global practices advocating for gender equity in educational leadership (UNESCO, 2019).

The concentration of respondents in the 25 to 34 age group, coupled with 6 to 10 years of teaching experience, indicates a relatively young and early-career faculty demographic. This age group represents a critical professional development phase characterized by high adaptability and a readiness to embrace innovative teaching practices (Zhao et al., 2021). However, these educators may face challenges such as limited experience in leadership roles and a higher demand for mentorship and professional growth opportunities. Structured programs that provide leadership training and access to experienced mentors could help institutions maximize the potential of this demographic. Research suggests that such initiatives can significantly enhance educators' career trajectories and institutional productivity, particularly in fostering leadership capabilities (Gurría, 2020). These steps would support individual faculty development and contribute to the long-term success and sustainability of higher education systems.

2. Assessment of Administrative Strategies

Administrative strategies in education play a crucial role in enhancing educators' job satisfaction. International studies highlight that the dimension of "promoting positive school culture" receives the highest appreciation among educators. Tria (2023) reveals that initiatives fostering collaboration, inclusivity, and community among teachers, staff, and students create a harmonious and effective school environment. Resource management is another critical area of focus. Suandi et al. (2024) emphasize the need for systematic and equitable resource allocation to address the diverse needs of students and teaching staff, effectively bridging gaps in the learning process. Instructional leadership is also positively rated, but Sahito and Vaisanen (2020)

point out that there is room for improvement, particularly in fostering adaptability and innovation within the school environment.

However, a significant weakness is the lack of teacher leadership development programs. Schlebusch et al. (2022) highlight that the absence of adequate mentoring and support hinders the development of essential leadership skills vital for effective educational administration. Furthermore, supportive teacher evaluations are also crucial. Ford et al. (2018) demonstrate that trust-based evaluation policies significantly boost teachers' motivation and job satisfaction, creating a healthier work environment. Equally important, Martínez-Zarzuelo et al. (2022) find that implementing well-structured quality management systems improves school climate and enhances student and educator satisfaction.

To improve educators' job satisfaction, educational institutions must focus on comprehensive administrative strategies, from fostering an inclusive school culture to implementing leadership development programs. This can be achieved through inclusive policies, supportive evaluations, and equitable resource management, ultimately creating a productive environment that supports educational success. Key references supporting these findings include Tria (2023), Suandi et al. (2024), Sahito and Vaisanen (2020), Schlebusch et al. (2022), Ford et al. (2018), and Martínez-Zarzuelo et al. (2022). These sources provide valuable insights into the importance of integrated administrative strategies in driving educational excellence.

3. Uniformity in Perceptions Across Educational Levels

The statistical analysis reveals no significant differences in teachers' perceptions of administrative strategies across educational attainment levels, underscoring the uniform impact of institutional policies. This finding suggests that existing strategies are applied consistently within the institution, ensuring that educators, irrespective of their academic qualifications, experience a similar administrative environment (Zhou & Gao, 2021). Such uniformity can be seen as a positive reflection of standardized policy implementation, which minimizes biases or discrepancies in how administrative support is provided. However, it also highlights the challenge of adequately addressing diverse faculty needs, as standardized approaches often fail to account for unique individual or departmental contexts (Chen et al., 2020).

While the consistent perceptions among educators suggest the absence of targeted deficiencies, they simultaneously point to systemic issues requiring institution-wide reforms. For instance, shared concerns regarding resource allocation, leadership development, and workload management indicate that current policies do not adequately address these areas (Li et al., 2019). Tailoring improvements to these shared concerns can enhance administrative strategies more effectively, ensuring that institutional resources are utilized efficiently and equitably. Moreover, these findings underline the necessity for flexible policy frameworks that retain their standardized structure while allowing adaptability to accommodate the varied needs of educators across disciplines and roles (Wang & Zhang, 2022). This dual approach could strengthen faculty engagement and satisfaction, ultimately improving institutional performance.

4. Challenges in School Administration

Despite some strengths in current administrative practices, the findings also revealed several challenges faced by educators in Chinese colleges. One major issue is bureaucratic limitations, with rigid administrative procedures hindering efficiency and stifling creativity. Many educators reported frustration with lengthy approval processes for academic initiatives and restricted involvement in institutional decision-making, which limits their ability to innovate and address localized challenges effectively (Zhou & Gao, 2021). Such bureaucratic barriers can reduce institutional agility and responsiveness, further exacerbating inefficiencies. Another significant challenge is the lack of professional development opportunities. Many faculty members needed

access to training programs, workshops, and mentoring initiatives to enhance their teaching and leadership capabilities. The absence of such opportunities restricts educators' ability to adopt innovative methods, improve their skills, and grow professionally, negatively impacting their motivation and job satisfaction (Chen et al., 2020). Professional development is essential for keeping educators up-to-date with evolving teaching methodologies and leadership practices. Inadequate financial resources were also identified as a significant issue, with faculty members highlighting difficulties securing research funding, acquiring classroom technology, and maintaining infrastructure. These constraints hinder educators' ability to deliver high-quality instruction and conduct meaningful research, ultimately affecting institutional performance (Li et al., 2019). Similarly, workload imbalance emerged as a recurring theme, with many educators struggling to balance the dual responsibilities of teaching and research. This imbalance often results in stress, reduced productivity, and limited time for student engagement and professional development (Wang & Zhang, 2022). Lastly, the study found a lack of autonomy in curriculum design to be a significant concern. Standardized guidelines and top-down decision-making processes leave little room for faculty members to adapt curricula to address specific student needs, resulting in less dynamic and responsive educational programs (Chen et al., 2020). This rigid approach limits innovation and prevents educators from tailoring educational content to meet evolving industry demands and diverse student backgrounds.

To address these challenges, several targeted recommendations were proposed. First, inclusive decision-making processes should be adopted, allowing faculty members to play a more active role in institutional governance and curriculum design. Empowering educators in this way fosters collaboration, a sense of ownership over academic policies, and alignment with local needs (Zhou & Gao, 2021). Second, professional development opportunities must be expanded to include regular training programs, workshops, and leadership initiatives. Institutions should allocate dedicated budgets to support these efforts and actively encourage faculty participation. Such opportunities equip educators with the skills to meet evolving educational demands (Chen et al., 2020). Third, equitable resource allocation is critical. Transparent, needs-based mechanisms for distributing resources should be implemented to ensure all faculty members and students have access to the necessary tools and materials. Technology-driven solutions, such as resource management systems, can streamline these processes (Li et al., 2019). Fourth, efforts to balance workloads are essential. Institutions could hire additional staff to reduce individual responsibilities and introduce flexible schedules to help faculty members manage their commitments effectively. Offering incentives for research productivity and fostering collaborative projects could further support educators in balancing teaching and research responsibilities (Wang & Zhang, 2022). Lastly, greater curricular autonomy should be granted to faculty members, enabling them to design innovative and student-centered educational programs. Increased flexibility in curriculum design would allow educators to address diverse learning needs and foster more engaging and responsive teaching practices (Chen et al., 2020). These recommendations could create a more dynamic, inclusive, and supportive educational environment, benefiting faculty members and students alike.

5. Significance of Proposed Enhancements

Implementing these recommendations holds significant potential to address critical challenges in educational administration, particularly issues related to bureaucratic inefficiency and workload imbalance. Streamlining administrative processes can lead to quicker decision-making, reduced redundancies, and increased transparency, which is essential for creating a responsive and efficient educational system (Zhou & Gao, 2021). Educators can dedicate more time and effort to their primary teaching and research responsibilities by minimizing unnecessary administrative hurdles. Moreover, promoting professional growth through workshops, leadership training, and mentoring programs can foster a culture of continuous improvement

Yi,

among faculty members (Chen et al., 2020). Such initiatives enhance individual competencies and build a robust institutional framework supporting long-term organizational success.

Equitable resource allocation and increased curricular autonomy are vital for fostering an inclusive and adaptable academic environment. Ensuring transparent and fair distribution of resources helps bridge disparities in access to infrastructure and technology, which are common in many institutions (Li et al., 2019). This step ensures educators and students have the tools to excel, promoting campus equity. Similarly, granting faculty members greater autonomy in curriculum design encourages innovation and responsiveness to diverse student needs. This flexibility enables educators to incorporate localized and dynamic teaching methods, improving learning outcomes and student engagement (Wang & Zhang, 2022). Collectively, these measures boost faculty job satisfaction and create a positive ripple effect, enhancing the overall educational experience and institutional performance.

D. Conclusion

The study concludes that most participants are young, moderately experienced male educators with advanced educational qualifications. This demographic allows institutions to tailor professional development initiatives that address their specific needs, improving engagement and retention. While educators generally view existing administrative strategies as effective, they identified several improvement areas, including mentoring new teachers, curricular collaboration, inclusivity, conflict resolution, and resource allocation. These enhancements could significantly enrich the educational environment and improve outcomes for both teachers and students. Moreover, the consistent positive evaluations of administrative practices across demographic groups indicate a sense of fairness and inclusivity. However, systemic challenges—such as bureaucratic hurdles, limited professional development, inadequate funding, and a lack of curricular flexibility—remain critical to cultivating a supportive and dynamic educational setting.

To address these findings, the study recommends developing targeted professional development programs for young educators and mentoring systems connecting novice teachers with experienced colleagues. Institutions should also prioritize inclusive policies, enhance dispute-resolution mechanisms, and ensure transparent and equitable resource allocation. Streamlining bureaucratic processes, increasing funding for training programs, and providing greater curricular autonomy to instructors are vital steps for fostering innovation and adaptability. Regular evaluations and feedback mechanisms are essential to maintain fairness and effectiveness in administrative strategies. By implementing these recommendations, institutions can create an empowering environment for educators, enhancing the overall quality of education and institutional performance.

References

- Bush, T., & Bell, L. (2019). *The principles of educational leadership & management*. SAGE.
- Chen, X., Zhang, L., & Li, H. (2020). Professional development of teachers in higher education institutions: Challenges and opportunities. *Beijing Educational Review*, 12(3), 45–58.
- Chen, X., Zhang, L., & Li, H. (2020). Professional development of teachers in higher education institutions: Challenges and opportunities. *Beijing Educational Review*, 12(3), 45–58.
- Cheng, Y., & Wang, M. (2020). Principals' instructional leadership and teacher professional development in Chinese primary schools: The mediating role of teacher collaboration. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 58(6), 725–742. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-10-2019-0185>
- Dimmock, C., & Walker, A. (2019). *Educational leadership: Culture and diversity*. Springer.

- Fink, D., & Resnick, L. (2019). *The pursuit of equity in education: A moral imperative*. Routledge.
- Ford, T. G., Urick, A., & Wilson, A. S. P. (2018). Supportive teacher evaluation experiences and teachers' job satisfaction. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 26(116).
- Gurría, A. (2020). Enhancing leadership capacity in educational institutions: Lessons from international practice. *OECD Education Working Papers*, 234, 1-45.
- Hanushek, E. A., & Woessmann, L. (2020). *The knowledge capital of nations: Education and the economics of growth*. MIT Press.
- Hargreaves, A., & Shirley, D. (2018). *The fourth way: The inspiring future for educational change*. Corwin.
- Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2019). *Leading learning: From instructional leadership to leadership capabilities*. Sage.
- Klein, M., & O'Connor, P. (2021). *Public finance: A contemporary application of theory to policy*. Cengage Learning.
- Leithwood, K., & Sun, J. (2020). *How leadership influences student learning*. Routledge.
- Leithwood, K., et al. (2020). *The educational leadership challenge: Redefining leadership for the 21st century*. Teachers College Press.
- Li, J., & Wang, X. (2019). The role of transformational leadership in shaping teacher professional identity: A cross-level analysis in Chinese schools. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 47(5), 704–722. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143217751298>
- Li, J., Sun, Y., & Yang, R. (2019). Resource allocation and equity in Chinese higher education: A policy analysis. *Journal of Educational Policy*, 15(2), 123–139.
- Louis, K. S., et al. (2019). *Trust and improvement in schools: A core resource for leadership*. Harvard Education Press.
- Ma, Y., & Li, J. (2019). Transformational leadership and teacher professional development: The mediating role of teacher trust in Chinese schools. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 20(4), 601–615. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-019-09590-2>
- Martínez-Zarzuelo, A., & Rodríguez-Mantilla, J. M. (2022). Improvements in climate and satisfaction after implementing a quality management system in education. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 91, 102041. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0149718922000738>
- Oplatka, I., & Hemsley-Brown, J. (2018). *Handbook of educational administration*. Routledge.
- Sahito, Z., & Vaisanen, P. (2020). A literature review on teachers' job satisfaction in developing countries: Recommendations and solutions for the enhancement of the job. *Review of Education*. Retrieved from <https://www.academia.edu/download/89801275/rev3.315920220817-1-14obakt.pdf>
- Schlebusch, G., & Schlebusch, L. (2022). Impact of school management teams on educator job satisfaction. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Educational Studies*. Retrieved from <https://ijsses.tiu.edu.iq/index.php/ijsses/article/download/87/79>
- Shen, W., Tang, X., & Liu, J. (2020). Gender disparities in higher education leadership: Implications for policy and practice. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 58(3), 345-360.
- Shen, Y., & Kuo, Y. C. (2021). A critical review of educational leadership and policy in China: Issues and trends. *Educational Policy Analysis and Strategic Research*, 16(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.38061/epasr.v16i1.240>
- Smyth, J., et al. (2019). *Education governance and social theory: Interdisciplinary approaches to research*. Springer.
- Spillane, J. P., et al. (2019). *Leading educational change: Global issues, challenges, and lessons on whole-system reform*. Teachers College Press.
- Suandi, S., Jusmaryanti, J., & Daud, F. (2024). Teacher satisfaction with personnel administration services at the South Sumatra Provincial Education Office. *Journal of Ilmiah Ekotrans*. Retrieved from <https://journal.unespadang.ac.id/JIEE/article/download/235/208>

- Tria, J. Z. (2023). Job satisfaction among educators: A systematic review. *International Journal of Professional Development, Learners and Leaders*. Retrieved from <https://www.ijpdll.com/download/job-satisfaction-among-educators-a-systematic-review-13212.pdf>
- UNESCO. (2019). *Gender equality in education systems: Opportunities and challenges*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
- Wang, P., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Curriculum reform and autonomy: Enhancing student engagement in Chinese universities. *Asian Education and Development Studies*, 11(4), 256–270.
- Wang, X., & Cheng, Y. (2018). Empowerment and teacher professional development in China: A qualitative study of teacher perceptions. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 46(1), 47–65. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143216662557>
- Xu, H., & Liu, C. (2020). Leadership styles and teacher job satisfaction in Chinese schools: The mediating role of teacher trust. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 21(3), 375–389. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-019-09588-w>
- Zhang, X., & Wang, J. (2019). The role of transformational leadership in fostering teacher collaboration: Evidence from China. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 22(6), 705–724. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2017.1417246>
- Zhao, H., & Wu, C. (2020). Exploring the relationship between principal leadership and teacher professional development in Chinese secondary schools: The mediating role of teacher motivation. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 58(5), 588–606. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-01-2020-0011>
- Zhao, L., Wang, H., & Chen, Q. (2021). Early-career faculty development: Strategies for success in Chinese higher education. *Asia-Pacific Education Review*, 22(4), 567–581.
- Zhou, Y., & Gao, X. (2021). Administrative reform in Chinese higher education: Reducing bureaucracy for improved efficiency. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 59(5), 412–428.